

IFTA CAC MEETING -07/15/2010

Participants: Garry Hinkley, Lonette Turner, Pat Platt, Jason DeGraf, Gary Frohlick, Scott Greenawalt, Randy Boone, Bill Kron, Scott Miller, Leri McClure, Cherie Woodworth, Trishawn Bell, Kevin Park, John Griffiths, Bob VanBuren, Nikki Bachelder

Debra Stuart and Kim Knox-Lawrence did not participate.

LAST CONFERENCE CALL – June 17, 2010.

JUNE MINUTES – June CAC minutes were accepted without any changes.

ITAC UPDATE – Scott M. reported that ITAC is still working on GPS surveys. Randy commented that the software has problems translating math formulas. Scott confirmed with the auditors that they found those math problems as well. Scott G. said they did an audit on companies with GPS. Scott G. said the system was determining the vehicle position by translating the zip code, getting the nearest post office's position and recording that as the vehicle's position. Therefore, the mileage was significantly overstated. Each software company does things differently. ITAC is almost done with doing surveys and will report their results to the Board. Kevin reported that he has yet to hear anything from IRP regarding GPS.

FUNDS NETTING UPDATE– Lonette reported that we just finished Clearinghouse period number 6. AZ failed to fund. The first prorate was sent out on 7/7/2010 and the second on 7/14/2010. AZ was notified they needed to write checks, along with which jurisdictions were owed and the amount owed. AZ reported this morning that they put in for the payments to be sent. AZ said they didn't fund timely because of fiscal year end issues. There was general discussion about late funding jurisdictions, and possible actions. Late jurisdictions are contacted everyday from the time they are late until they send the payment. AZ likely will be cited on their compliance review or get complaints from other jurisdictions. Theoretically, AZ could be taken to Dispute Resolution either by one or more jurisdictions, or by PCRC.

This situation has proven that the prorate process works. It takes all jurisdictions working together to collect monies in a timely manner. Hopefully with having late jurisdictions cut checks will make them try harder to fund in time. It was suggesting if we could move the upload dates back and leave the funding dates the same. Since we only have 6 months of history, we will wait before making any changes to Funds Netting. The Clearinghouse process works fine.

Leri entered the call.

UC CODE – There was general discussion of the uncollectible process, and how UC information should be posted to the CH and summarized. The committee reviewed the examples Cherie provided.

During last month's discussion, it was agreed that fuel gallons/liters should not be reversed on an uncollectible return. The rationale is that the fuel was used, and should remain even though the tax may not have been collected and distributed. Reversing fuel would skew statistics.

Many jurisdictions just report the total amount on an UC return, and don't break down what portion is tax and what is interest. Unless the UC is for an audit, in most cases there is no interest. Given that many UC returns involve partial payments, it would be very difficult to break a UC return down between tax and interest.

Cherie reported that Idaho was charging jurisdictions interest when a return is deemed uncollectible. The committee opined that there was no provision in the Agreement for the base jurisdiction to charge member jurisdictions on a UC return.

After reviewing Cherie's examples and general discussion, the committee members agreed that the CH is handling UC returns properly.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA –There were some problems with RPC demographic data. The problem is being resolved.

NEW BUSINESS – None.

NEXT CONFERENCE CALL – Next call will be August 19, 2010 at 11:00 a.m. EST.